



The Audit Plan for Shropshire Council


Year ended 31 March 2013

March 2013

Grant Patterson
Engagement Lead
T 0121 232 5296
E grant.b.patterson@uk.gt.com

Erik Bagnall
Audit Manager
T 0121 232 5415
E erik.k.bagnall@uk.gt.com

Bethan Vaghela
In Charge Accountant
T 0121 232 5268
E bethan.vaghela@uk.gt.com



Contents


Section

1. Understanding your business
2. Developments relevant to your business and the audit
3. Our audit approach
4. An audit focused on risks
5. Significant risks identified
6. Other risks
7. Group scope and risk assessment
8. Results of interim work
9. Value for Money
10. Logistics and our team
11. Fees and independence
12. Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance

The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect the Council or any weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Understanding your business

In planning our audit we need to understand the challenges and opportunities the Council is facing. We set out a summary of our understanding below.



Developments relevant to your business and the audit

In planning our audit we also consider the impact of key developments in the sector and take account of national audit requirements as set out in the Code of Audit Practice and associated guidance.

Developments and other requirements					
<p>1. Financial reporting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Changes to the CIPFA Code of Practice • Transfer of assets to Academies • Recognition of grant conditions and income • Self financing Housing Revenue Account • Early view on adjusting for assets that come on Balance Sheet across the course of Private Finance Initiative (PFI) contracts. 	<p>2. Legislation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Government Finance settlement 2012/13 • Welfare Reform Act 2012 	<p>3. Corporate governance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annual Governance Statement (AGS) • Explanatory foreword 	<p>4. Pensions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning for the impact of 2013/14 changes to the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) 	<p>5. Financial Pressures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Managing service provision with less resource • Progress against savings plans 	<p>6. Other requirements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Council is required to submit a Whole of Government (WGA) accounts pack on which we provide an audit opinion • The Council completes grant claims and returns on which audit certification is required
Our response					
<p>We will ensure that</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Council complies with the requirements of the CIPFA Code of Practice through our substantive testing • schools are accounted for correctly and in line with the latest guidance • grant income is recognised in line with the correct accounting standard • provide a view on adjusting for assets that come on Balance Sheet across the course of PFI contracts. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We will discuss the impact of the legislative changes with the Council through our regular meetings with senior management and those charged with governance, providing a view where appropriate. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We will review the arrangements the Council has in place for the production of the AGS. • We will review the AGS and the explanatory foreword to consider whether they are consistent with our knowledge. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We will discuss how the Council is planning to deal with the impact of the 2013/14 changes through our meetings with senior management. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We will review the Council's performance against the 2012/13 budget, including consideration of performance against the savings plan. • We will undertake a review of Financial Resilience as part of our Value for Money (VfM) conclusion. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We will carry out work on the WGA pack in accordance with requirements. • We will certify grant claims and returns in accordance with Audit Commission requirements.

Our audit approach


Global audit technology

Ensures compliance with International Standards on Auditing (ISAs)

- * Understanding the environment and the entity
- * Understanding the business
- * Understanding management's focus
- * Evaluating the year's results

Inherent risks
 Significant risks
 Other risks
 Material balances

Develop audit plan to obtain reasonable assurance that the Financial Statements as a whole are free from material misstatement and prepared in all material^a respects with the CIPFA Code of Practice framework using our global methodology and audit software



Note:
 a. An item would be considered material to the financial statements if, through its omission or non-disclosure, the financial statements would no longer show a true and fair view.



Creates and tailors audit programs

Stores audit evidence

Documents processes and controls

An audit focused on risks

We undertake a risk based audit whereby we focus audit effort on those areas where we have identified a risk of material misstatement in the accounts. The table below shows how our audit approach focuses on the risks we have identified through our planning and review of the national risks affecting the sector. This is based upon our understanding at this time. If variations to our planned work are required these will be reported to the Audit Committee. Definitions of the level of risk and associated work are given below:

Significant – Significant risks are typically non-routine transactions, areas of material judgement or those areas where there is a high underlying (inherent) risk of misstatement. We will undertake an assessment of controls (if applicable) around the risks and carry out detailed substantive testing.

Other – Other risks of material misstatement are typically those transaction cycles and balances where there are high values, large numbers of transactions and risks arising from, for example, system changes and issues identified from previous years audits. We will assess controls and undertake substantive testing, the level of which will be reduced where we can rely on controls.

None – Our risk assessment has not identified a risk of misstatement. We will undertake substantive testing of material balances. Where an item in the accounts is not material we do not carry out detailed substantive testing.

Account	Material (or potentially material) balance?	Transaction Cycle	Inherent risk	Material misstatement risk?	Description of Risk	Substantive testing?
Cost of services - operating expenses	Yes	Operating expenses	Medium	Other	Operating expenses understated	✓
Cost of services – employee remuneration	Yes	Employee remuneration	Medium	Other	Remuneration expenses not correct	✓
Costs of services – Housing & council tax benefit	Yes	Welfare expenditure	Medium	Other	Welfare benefits improperly computed	✓
Cost of services – Housing revenue	Yes	Housing revenue account	Medium	Other	Housing revenue transactions not recorded	✓
Cost of services – other revenues (fees & charges)	Yes	Other revenues	Low	None		✓
(Gains)/ Loss on disposal of non current assets	Yes	Property, Plant and Equipment	Low	None		✓
Payments to Housing Capital Receipts Pool	No	Property, Plant & Equipment	Low	None		×
Precepts and Levies	No	Council Tax	Low	None		×

An audit focused on risks (continued)

Account	Material (or potentially material) balance?	Transaction Cycle	Inherent risk	Material misstatement risk?	Description of Risk	Substantive testing?
Interest payable and similar charges	Yes	Borrowings	Low	None		✓
Pension Interest cost	Yes	Employee remuneration	Low	None		✓
Interest & investment income	No	Investments	Low	None		×
Return on Pension assets	Yes	Employee remuneration	Low	None		✓
Investment properties: Income expenditure, valuation, changes & gain on disposal	No	Property, Plant & Equipment	Low	None		×
Income from council tax	Yes	Council Tax	Low	None		✓
NNDR Distribution	Yes	NNDR	Low	None		✓
Non ring-fenced Government grants	Yes	Grant Income	Low	None		✓
Capital grants & Contributions (including those received in advance)	Yes	Property, Plant & Equipment	Low	None		✓

An audit focused on risks (continued)

Account	Material (or potentially material) balance?	Transaction Cycle	Inherent risk	Material misstatement risk?	Description of Risk	Substantive testing?
(Surplus)/ Deficit on revaluation of non current assets	Yes	Property, Plant & Equipment	Low	None		✓
Actuarial (gains)/ Losses on pension fund assets & liabilities	Yes	Employee remuneration	Low	None		✓
Property, Plant & Equipment	Yes	Property, Plant & Equipment	Medium	Other	PPE activity not valid	✓
Property, Plant & Equipment	Yes	Property, Plant & Equipment	Medium	Other	Revaluation measurements not correct	✓
Heritage assets & Investment property	Yes	Property, Plant & Equipment	Low	None		✓
Intangible assets	No	Intangible assets	Low	None		×
Investments (long & short term)	No	Investments	Low	None		✓
Debtors (long & short term)	Yes	Revenue	Low	None		✓
Assets held for sale	No	Property, Plant & Equipment	Low	None		×
Inventories	No	Inventories	Low	None		×
Cash & cash Equivalents	Yes	Bank & Cash	Low	None		✓

An audit focused on risks (continued)

Account	Material (or potentially material) balance?	Transaction Cycle	Inherent risk	Material misstatement risk?	Description of Risk	Substantive testing?
Borrowing (long & short term)	Yes	Debt (including PFI)	Low	None		✓
Creditors (long & Short term)	Yes	Operating Expenses	Medium	Other	Creditors understated or not recorded in the correct period	✓
Provisions (long & short term)	Yes	Provision	Low	None		✓
Pension liability	Yes	Employee remuneration	Low	None		✓
Reserves	Yes	Equity	Low	None		✓

Significant risks identified

'Significant risks often relate to significant non-routine transactions and judgmental matters. Non-routine transactions are transactions that are unusual, either due to size or nature, and that therefore occur infrequently. Judgmental matters may include the development of accounting estimates for which there is significant measurement uncertainty' (ISA 315).

In this section we outline the significant risks of material misstatement which we have identified. There are two presumed significant risks which are applicable to all audits under auditing standards (International Standards on Auditing – ISAs) which are listed below:

Significant risk	Description	Substantive audit procedures
The revenue cycle includes fraudulent transactions	Under ISA 240 there is a presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to the improper recognition of revenue.	<p>On the information we have at this time we are not proposing to rebut the presumption.</p> <p>Work completed to date:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review of revenue recognition policies. <p>Further work planned:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Testing of revenue recognition policies. • Performance of substantive testing on material revenue streams .
Management over-ride of controls	Under ISA 240 there is a presumed risk that the risk of management over-ride of controls is present in all entities.	<p>Work completed to date:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussions with Management of accounting estimates, judgments and decisions made by management. • Testing of journal entries to January 2013. <p>Further work planned:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review of accounting estimates, judgments and decisions made by management. • Testing of journal entries for February 2013 and March 2013. • Review of unusual and significant transactions.

Other risks

The auditor should evaluate the design and determine the implementation of the entity's controls, including relevant control activities, over those risks for which, in the auditor's judgment, it is not possible or practicable to reduce the risks of material misstatement at the assertion level to an acceptably low level with audit evidence obtained only from substantive procedures (ISA 315).

Other reasonably possible risks	Description	Work completed to date	Further work planned
Operating expenses	<p>Operating expenses understated</p> <p>Creditors understated or not recorded in the correct period</p>	Walkthrough tests were completed in relation to the completeness assertion which we consider to present a risk of material misstatement to the financial statements.	<p>Tests of detail on operating expenses included in the financial statements including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Performance of attribute testing on a sample of operating expenditure. - Review of payments after the year end.
Employee remuneration	Remuneration expenses not correct	Walkthrough tests were completed in relation to the completeness assertion which we consider to present a risk of material misstatement to the financial statements.	<p>Tests of detail on the employee remuneration accrual including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Performance of attribute testing on a sample of payroll expenditure. - Agreement of employee remuneration disclosures in the financial statement to supporting evidence.
Welfare Expenditure	Welfare benefits improperly computed	Walkthrough tests were completed in relation to the valuation assertion which we consider to present a risk of material misstatement to the financial statements.	Testing of the final Housing Benefit Claim will be completed using the HB COUNT process, with assurance taken from the testing of the initial testing of 20 cases per benefit category.
Housing Rent Revenue Account	Revenue transactions not recorded.	Walkthrough tests were completed in relation to the completeness assertion which we consider to present a risk of material misstatement to the financial statements.	<p>Tests of detail on the Housing Revenue Account including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Performance of predictive analytical review on dwelling rents.
Property, Plant & Equipment (PPE)	<p>PPE activity not valid</p> <p>Revaluation measurement not correct</p>	Walkthrough tests were completed in relation to the completeness and valuation assertions which we consider to present a risk of material misstatement to the financial statements.	<p>Tests of detail on PPE including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Performance of attribute testing on a sample of additions and disposals - Agreement of valuations to asset register

Group audit scope and risk assessment

ISA 600 requires that as Group auditors we obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial information of the components and the consolidation process to express an opinion on whether the group financial statements are prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework.

Component	Significant?	Level of response required under ISA 600	Risks identified	Planned audit approach
West Mercia Energy	Yes	Comprehensive	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Operating expenses understated- Creditors understated or not recorded in the correct period- Income misstated	Full scope UK statutory audit performed by Grant Thornton
Community Asset Trust	No	Analytical	N/A	Desktop review performed by Grant Thornton
South Shropshire Leisure Limited	No	Analytical	N/A	Desktop review performed by Grant Thornton
IP&E	No	Analytical	N/A	Desktop review performed by Grant Thornton

Results of interim audit work

Scope

As part of the interim audit work and in advance of our final accounts audit fieldwork, we have considered:

- the effectiveness of the internal audit function
- internal audit's work on the Council's key financial systems
- walkthrough testing to confirm whether controls are implemented as per our understanding in areas where we have identified a risk of material misstatement
- a review of the journal controls and journals posted in the first ten months of the year.

	Work performed	Conclusion/ Summary
Internal audit	We have reviewed internal audit's overall arrangements against the CIPFA Code of Practice. Where the arrangements are deemed to be adequate, we can gain assurance from the overall work undertaken by internal audit and can conclude that the service itself is contributing positively to the internal control environment and overall governance arrangements within the Council.	We have concluded that the Internal Audit service continues to provide an independent and satisfactory service to the Council and that we can take assurance from their work in contributing to an effective internal control environment at the Council.
Walkthrough testing	Walkthrough tests were completed in relation to the specific accounts assertion risks which we consider to present a risk of material misstatement to the financial statements: <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Operating Expenses/creditors – completeness- PPE – completeness and valuation- Welfare expenditure – valuation- Housing rent revenue account – completeness- Employee remuneration - completeness	No significant issues were noted and in-year internal controls were observed to have been implemented in accordance with our documented understanding.

Results of interim audit work (continued)

	Work performed	Conclusion/ Summary
Journal entry controls	<p>We have reviewed the Council's journal entry policies and procedures as part of determining our journal entry testing strategy and have not identified any material weaknesses which are likely to adversely impact on the Council's control environment or financial statements.</p> <p>To date we have undertaken detailed testing on journal transactions recorded for the first ten months of the financial year, by extracting 'unusual' entries for further review. No issues have been identified that require to be reported.</p>	<p>Our testing of journals in the first ten months of the year did not identify any significant issues.</p> <p>We will undertake detailed testing on the final two months of the financial year as part of the audit of the financial statements.</p>

Value for Money

Introduction

The Code of Audit Practice requires us to issue a conclusion on whether the Council has put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. This is known as the Value for Money (VfM) conclusion.

2012/13 VfM conclusion

Our Value for Money conclusion will be based on two reporting criteria specified by the Audit Commission.

We will tailor our VfM work to ensure that as well as addressing high risk areas it is, wherever possible, focused on the Council's priority areas and can be used as a source of assurance members. Where we plan to undertake specific reviews to support our VfM conclusion, we will issue a Terms of Reference for each review outlining the scope, methodology and timing of the review. These will be agreed in advance and presented to Audit Committee.

The results of all our local VfM audit work and key messages will be reported in our Audit Findings report and in the Annual Audit Letter. We will agree any additional reporting to the Council on a review-by-review basis.

Code criteria

The Council has proper arrangements in place for:

- securing financial resilience
- challenging how it secures economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources

We will consider whether the Council is prioritising its resources with tighter budget

Work to be undertaken

Risk-based work focusing on arrangements relating to financial governance, strategic financial planning and financial control will be undertaken to determine the financial resilience of the Council in response to the on-going financial pressures. We will provide a separate report for the Audit Committee.

We will undertake a review of the governance and risk arrangements relating to the development of ip&e, which is a wholly owned public limited company being set up by the Council. The work will consider the effectiveness of the arrangements in place for project and risk management by the Council from business planning through to the proper transfer of resources and assets. This will be to ensure that the entity has a robust foundation upon which it can move forward and support the Council in achieving its' strategic outcomes and delivering services economically, efficiently and effectively.

Logistics and our team



Date	Activity
January 2013	Planning meeting
March 2013	Interim site work
March 2013	The audit plan presented to Audit Committee
July 2013	Year end fieldwork commences
August 2013	Audit findings clearance meeting
September 2013	Audit Committee meeting to report our findings
September 2013	Sign financial statements and VfM conclusion
October 2013	Issue Annual Audit Letter

Our team

Grant Patterson
Engagement Lead
 T 0121 232 5296
 M 07880 456114
 E grant.b.patterson@uk.gt.com

Erik Bagnall
Manager
 T 0121 232 5415
 M 07880 456100
 E erik.k.bagnall@uk.gt.com

Bethan Vaghela
In Charge Accountant
 T 0121 232 5268
 E bethan.vaghela@uk.gt.com

Nigel Meredith
Executive
 T 0121 232 5308
 E nigel.j.meredith@uk.gt.com

Richard Harper
Executive
 T 0121 232 5331
 E richard.harper@uk.gt.com

Fees and independence

Fees

	£
Council audit	212,390
Grant certification	26,650
Total	239,040

Our fee assumptions include:

- Our fees are exclusive of VAT
- The fee variation of £35,000 as reported to Audit Committee on 14 February 2013 remains subject to Audit Commission approval, which will be determined in April 2013.
- Supporting schedules to all figures in the accounts are supplied by the agreed dates and in accordance with the agreed upon information request list
- The scope of the audit, and the Council and its activities have not changed significantly
- The Council will make available management and accounting staff to help us locate information and to provide explanations

Fees for other services

Service	Fees £
None at date of audit plan	0

Independence and ethics

We confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention. We have complied with the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards and therefore we confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements.

Full details of all fees charged for audit and non-audit services will be included in our Audit Findings report at the conclusion of the audit.

We confirm that we have implemented policies and procedures to meet the requirement of the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards.

Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance

International Standards on Auditing (ISA) 260, as well as other ISAs, prescribe matters which we are required to communicate with those charged with governance, and which we set out in the table opposite.

This document, The Audit Plan, outlines our audit strategy and plan to deliver the audit, while The Audit Findings will be issued prior to approval of the financial statements and will present key issues and other matters arising from the audit, together with an explanation as to how these have been resolved.

We will communicate any adverse or unexpected findings affecting the audit on a timely basis, either informally or via a report to the Council.

Respective responsibilities

This plan has been prepared in the context of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies issued by the Audit Commission (www.audit-commission.gov.uk).

We have been appointed as the Council's independent external auditors by the Audit Commission, the body responsible for appointing external auditors to local public bodies in England. As external auditors, we have a broad remit covering finance and governance matters.

Our annual work programme is set in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice ('the Code') issued by the Audit Commission and includes nationally prescribed and locally determined work. Our work considers the Council's key risks when reaching our conclusions under the Code.

It is the responsibility of the Council to ensure that proper arrangements are in place for the conduct of its business, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for. We have considered how the Council is fulfilling these responsibilities.

	Audit plan	Audit findings
Our communication plan		
Respective responsibilities of auditor and management/those charged with governance	✓	
Overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit. Form, timing and expected general content of communications	✓	
Views about the qualitative aspects of the entity's accounting and financial reporting practices, significant matters and issue arising during the audit and written representations that have been sought		✓
Confirmation of independence and objectivity	✓	✓
A statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence, relationships and other matters which might be thought to bear on independence.	✓	✓
Details of non-audit work performed by Grant Thornton UK LLP and network firms, together with fees charged.		
Details of safeguards applied to threats to independence		
Material weaknesses in internal control identified during the audit		✓
Identification or suspicion of fraud involving management and/or others which results in material misstatement of the financial statements		✓
Non compliance with laws and regulations		✓
Expected modifications to the auditor's report, or emphasis of matter		✓
Uncorrected misstatements		✓
Significant matters arising in connection with related parties		✓
Significant matters in relation to going concern		✓



© 2013 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' means Grant Thornton UK LLP, a limited liability partnership.

Grant Thornton is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (Grant Thornton International). References to 'Grant Thornton' are to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms operate and refer to one or more member firms, as the context requires.

Grant Thornton International and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered independently by member firms, which are not responsible for the services or activities of one another. Grant Thornton International does not provide services to clients.

grant-thornton.co.uk